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ABSTRACT
Efficient multimodal fusion is a key feature of future video indexing systems. Hidden Markov Models provide a powerful framework for video structure analysis but they require all video modalities to be strictly synchronous. Taking as a case study tennis broadcasts analysis, we introduce into video indexing Segment Models, a generalization of Hidden Markov Models, where the fusion of different modalities can be performed with relaxed synchrony constraints. Segment Models were experimentally proved to perform marginally better compared to Hidden Markov Models.

1. INTRODUCTION
Automatic annotation of video documents is a powerful tool for managing large video databases. In the last few years, modern computer vision techniques were employed for extracting semantic indexes based on the low-level features of a video. As video documents are inherently multimodal, it was quickly realized that an efficient indexing technique should take into consideration all the possible modalities (like images, audio, etc.). There are numerous approaches to multimodal fusion in the relative literature, reviewed in a recently published survey [1].

A statistical approach that is usually employed for modeling and information extraction is the Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) [2, 1]. The drawback of HMMs is that they require all the modalities of a video document to be completely synchronous before their fusion. Due to this constraint, a reference modality is usually chosen and then its segmentation is used to collect information from the other ones. This deficiency, however, of the non-native segmentation of the other modalities could be solved in another framework referred to as Segment Models. They were introduced in speech recognition by Ostendorf et al. [3] as a generalization of HMMs where different modeling assumptions can be easily incorporated. The purpose of this study is to introduce this promising framework into video indexing, providing extensions to previous work [4] based on HMMs. Our main application focuses on tennis broadcasts where game rules as well as production rules result in a structured document. Our aim is to recover this structure and then to construct the table of contents of the video by segmenting it in human meaningful scenes.

The paper is organized as follows. The feature extraction stage is briefly discussed in section 2. HMMs and Segment Models are reviewed in section 3. Multimodal integration under these models is discussed in section 4. Parameter estimation details and experimental results are given in section 5. Finally, section 6 concludes this study.

2. VISUAL AND AUDIO FEATURES
Both the video and audio tracks are characterized by large homogeneous segments. For the video track, these segments are the shots. For the sound tracks, we consider segments whose audio content is homogeneous with respect to sound classes such as ball hits or applause. In this section we discuss the extraction of a unique visual or audio descriptor from these segments. These descriptors (or observations in the HMM terminology) will serve as input features to the modeling stages of the following sections.

2.1. Visual Features
In order to detect hard cuts of the video track we implemented the adaptive threshold selection method of [5]. Starts and ends of replays are usually signaled by a smoothed progressive transitions between two shots, known as dissolve transitions, which were detected via the twin comparison algorithm [6]. Having the temporal extend of a dissolve, we formed a new type of shot labeled as “dissolve shot”.

We detected shots of exchanges between the two players (referred to as “global views”) using a simple color histogram-based distance between the middle frame of the given shot and a reference frame representing an ‘ideal’ global view. This reference frame, different for each game, was found via an automated procedure as described in [4]. As a final result, we attached as visual descriptor to each key frame the vector $O_t = [O_t^{VS} O_t^{LT} O_t^{Diss}]^T$, where $O_t^{VS}$ is the visual similarity, $O_t^{LT}$ is the length of the associated shot and $O_t^{Diss}$ indicates a dissolve shot or not and $T$ denotes matrix...
transposition. We quantized homogeneously the values of \( O_i \) and \( O_j \) into 10 bins each.

2.2. Audio Features

In order to characterize the content, we track the presence of the following key sound classes: music, applause, and ball hits. Tracking such events is carried out in a two-step process as described in [7]. First, the soundtrack is segmented into homogeneous segments using a Bayesian information criterion. It is important to note that this segmentation is carried out independently of the shot segmentation. The presence or absence of sound classes is detected using statistical hypothesis testing with Gaussian mixture models.

3. MODELING OF THE VISUAL CONTENT

Our aim is to decode the tennis game according to some predefined scenes, namely first missed serve and exchange, exchange, replay and break. The succession of these scenes is modeled by an ergodic HMM. In the first part of this section, we discuss how to model a scene also using an HMM (the resulting model also being an HMM), while in the second one we extend this approach to use segment models, where a segment corresponds to a scene.

3.1. Hidden Markov Models

One can easily observe that tennis videos exhibit strong temporal patterns. For example, a replay can be identified as a sequence of dissolves and non-dissolve shots. So, we can approach the video data as a sequence of observations, produced by a random process as it evolves through time.

After a careful examination of our video sequences, we have distinguished 12 different states for modeling the Markovian process, each of them having its special physical meaning, as illustrated in Fig. 1. We have separated them into four scenes corresponding to our four basic types of scenes mentioned above. The first scene can be modeled as follows: a first missed serve with a shot of global view (state 1), some shots of non-global view follow (state 2), a shot of global view of the normal exchange (state 3), and finally, some shots of non-global view after the exchange (state 4). There is also the possibility to transit from state 2 back to state 1 in cases of repeated missed serves. The states for the remaining scenes can be explained in a similar manner.

Assuming the parameters of the model are known, we can then decode an observation sequence to the corresponding most likely hidden state sequence, given by:

\[
S^* = \arg \max_{s_i^T} p(O_i^T | s_i^T)p(s_i^T)
\]

where \( s_i^T \) is the hidden state sequence, \( O_i^T \) is the observation sequence and \( T \) is the sequence length. The state sequence \( S^* \) gives us the wanted human meaningful class labels of each video shot. This optimization problem is solved efficiently and fast using the Viterbi algorithm.

3.2. Segment Models

In this new type of modeling, the notion of the segment generalizes the notion of the state of HMMs in that it allows its extension to arbitrary durations. In this way a state can generate several observations before the transition into another state. This situation is depicted in Fig. 2. On the left, we see what happens conceptually in the case of HMMs: at a given time instant the process is in a given state and generates one observation symbol and then transits to another state. On the right, we see how a sequence is generated according to Segment Models. At a given time instant the stochastic process enters into a state and remains there according to a probability given by the segment duration model. A sequence of observations is generated, instead of a single one, according to a distribution conditioned on the segment label. Then the process transits to a new state with a transition probability, as in HMMs, and so on until the complete sequence of observations is generated.

In our tennis video case, we can think of a scene as a segment. Indeed, we can observe that the complete sets of observations of the scenes of Fig. 1 share a lot of common elements. For example, a scene of a break is an ensemble of shots of very short (merchandise) or long (statistics) duration. In addition, we expect that all the break scenes will be of long absolute duration while the scenes of replays should be of short absolute duration.

The parameters to be estimated for Segment Models are the transition probability \( p(i|j) \) from state \( j \) to state \( i \), the duration model \( p(l|a) \) and the segment-level observation probability \( b_a(O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_1) \), conditioned on the segment label.
Fig. 2. The generation of the observation sequence according to Hidden Markov Models (left) and to Segment Models (right).

direct way via the segmentation of the reference modality. The enhanced observation vector for the HMM is

\[ O_t = [O_t^{vs} O_t^1 O_t^{diss} O_t^{bh} O_t^{appl} O_t^{m}]^T \]

where \( O_t^{vs} \), \( O_t^1 \), \( O_t^{diss} \) were defined in section 2. \( O_t^{bh} \) denotes the presence or absence of ball hits, \( O_t^{appl} \) of applause, and \( O_t^{m} \) of music in the shot. We supposed again independence between all the components of the observation vector.

There are various ways to approach feature modeling in Segment Models. Generally, we can group these approaches based on the way they integrate the audio content: we can use it in the form of shot-based descriptors, as in HMMs, or with the form of scene-based features.

Starting from shot-based descriptors, the simplest case is to make the assumption of the independence of the observations:

\[ b_a(O_1 O_2 \ldots O_t) = \prod_{k=1}^{t} P(O_k|a), \]

where \( a \) is the segment label. We will refer to this approach as ‘A Vprod’ from now on. We can relax the independence assumption by using an HMM to model the sequence of observations of a segment:

\[ b_a(O_1 O_2 \ldots O_t) \equiv P(O|\lambda_a) = \sum_{Q} P(O, Q|\lambda_a), \quad (1) \]

where \( \lambda_a \) represents the HMM charged to model the observations of segment \( a \) and \( Q \) is a hidden state sequence of it. The calculation of the right term can be done easily by the forward pass of the forward-backward procedure [2]. We will call this approach ‘A Vhmm’. When not using audio observations, we will refer to the ‘Vhmm’ approach.

As we can now model sets of observations at the scene level, we can describe the audio content using its native audio-based segmentation. So, instead of collecting a number of descriptors for each shot, we can use features like ‘presence of applause in the scene’, etc. The visual features are still modeled via HMMs as in eq. (1). We will call this approach ‘VhmmA1gram’. Another possibility is to use as features the succession of audio events in the segment, which can be done simply by a bigram modeling:

\[ b_a(O_1^a O_2^a \ldots O_t^a) = \prod_{k=2}^{t} P(O_k^a|O_{k-1}^a, a), \]

where \( O_k^a \) is a symbol indicating the detection of applause, ball hits or music in the segment. We will call this approach ‘VhmmA2gram’.

5. PARAMETER ESTIMATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For all the models, parameters are estimated from manual shot and segment labels. The transition probabilities are es-
estimated according to the relative frequency of occurrence. As observations are discretized, observation probabilities can also be estimated by the relative frequency of occurrence of the symbol for HMMs and for the ‘AVprod’ model.

For the segment model, the segment duration law \( p(l|a) \) is approximated using a 30-bin histogram of the absolute scene duration expressed in seconds. The visual and audio-visual HMMs used to model the sequence of shots within a segment were initialized according to the topology depicted in Fig. 1 (i.e., same number of states and the allowed transitions were identical to the dominant transitions of the figure). The parameters were then estimated using the standard Baum-Welch algorithm. A simple back-off scheme was used for the estimation of the audio bigram probabilities in order to avoid null probabilities for unseen sequences.

Experiments are carried out on a corpus of 6 tennis games with a total duration of 15 hours. The first three games are used as a training set to estimate model parameters while the last three as the test set. Performances are measured in terms of the percentage of shots assigned with the correct scene label as well as in terms of recall and precision on the scene boundaries. As the ground truth of the games was collected on top of the video track segmentation, errors of the hard cut and dissolve detection are not taken into account in this analysis. Results are reported in table 1.

We first see the performance of the HMM of section 3.1 without (HMMs-V) or with (HMMs-AV) audio observations. As expected, the performance is improved when adding audio information in the observations. We see in the next five rows of table 1 the performance of Segment Models under various observation modeling alternatives. Firstly, it is clear that the observation independence assumption provides very poor results (approach AVprod). Note that, in this case, the very low segmentation accuracy achieved classification results at acceptable rates, as we assign labels from a small set of four possible values. The poor results of AVprod give strong evidence that we should model the temporal evolution of the observations of a segment. Indeed, the performance increases significantly when modeling the observation distributions via an HMM (cases Vhmm and AVhmm). Comparing the performance of Vhmm to that of AVhmm, we see that the audio observations are again useful for Segment Models (or more precisely, for the HMMs that model the observation sequences). However, the integration of the audio content under the VhmmA1gram approach cannot give performance of the same level. With this model, audio events that are asynchronous to the visual observations are used, but the succession of these events in the scene cannot be captured. This is important as the audio events of the up two scenes of Fig. 1 occur with a strict temporal order. The succession of audio events can be captured effectively under the VhmmA2gram approach, where we note clearly a performance improvement. Overall, by using Segment Models, we can integrate the video and audio content in an asynchronous way while achieving marginally better performance, as we see comparing VhmmA2gram to HMMs-AV and AVhmm.

### Table 1. Experimental results for various approaches on test sets regarding percentage of correct classification (C), precision (P), and recall (R) rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMMs-V</td>
<td>70.72</td>
<td>68.90</td>
<td>80.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMMs-AV</td>
<td>74.57</td>
<td>73.69</td>
<td>82.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVprod</td>
<td>60.19</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>33.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vhmm</td>
<td>76.37</td>
<td>70.97</td>
<td>80.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVhmm</td>
<td>77.81</td>
<td>72.39</td>
<td>83.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VhmmA1gram</td>
<td>76.95</td>
<td>72.28</td>
<td>72.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VhmmA2gram</td>
<td>79.17</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>80.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed an alternative modeling of a video sequence based on Segment Models, which can offer some flexibility regarding the fusion of multiple modalities compared to HMMs. The experimental results demonstrated that the asynchronous fusion of visual and audio observations under the Segment Models can give the same level of performance, if not better. We plan to extend this framework to other domains of sport video, as an alternative to HMMs.

### 7. REFERENCES