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Abstract

Critical to the automation of analog circuit systems is the estimation process of performance parameters which are used to guide the topology selection and circuit sizing processes. This paper presents a methodology to improve the effectiveness of the CMOS analog system circuit synthesis search process by developing an Analog Performance Estimator (APE) tool. APE is capable of accepting the design parameters of an analog circuit and determine its performance parameters along with anticipated sizes of all the circuit elements. The APE is structured as a hierarchical estimation engine containing performance models of analog circuits at various levels of abstraction.

1. Introduction

The analog CMOS integrated circuit synthesis process consists of three steps: topology selection, circuit sizing and design verification [3, 2]. Topology selection is the process of selecting analog circuit elements arranged in predetermined topologies to realize the desired function. Circuit sizing phase determines the physical dimensions, bias points, and element values to meet user constraints. The design verification phase is typically performed by a circuit simulator such as SPICE.

Due to the vast design-space available, analog circuit sizing is generally considered to be a time-consuming process. Typically, the circuit sizing process starts with an initial design point, in the form of initial sizes for various circuit elements, and employs an algorithm to search through the design-space until converges at a design that is likely to meet the user constraints. The speed of convergence and the quality of the design produced depend on the initial design point as well as the design-space exploration algorithm used.

This paper presents a methodology for improving the effectiveness of CMOS analog systems circuit synthesis by estimating the performance and generating a sized circuit which can be used as the initial design point in a more rigorous analog circuit sizing tool. The methodology is embedded in a tool called the Analog Performance Estimator (APE). APE permits a circuit designer or a circuit synthesis tool to estimate several characteristics of analog circuits, including DC gain, Unity Gain Frequency (UGF), transistor area, static power dissipation, operating points, etc., at an early stage of the design process.

The APE is structured as a hierarchical estimation engine and contains performance models of analog circuits at various levels of abstraction. The levels include the basic circuit elements (MOS transistors, resistors, capacitors etc.), simple analog circuits (current mirrors, V-I converters etc.), operational amplifiers (in various configurations), and analog library cells (integrators, filters, amplifiers etc.). The APE uses technology process parameters and SPICE models of analog circuit elements at the lowest level. It contains performance composition equations for determining circuit performance at the other levels of abstraction.

APE is part of VASE, a mixed-signal synthesis system being developed at the University of Cincinnati [20]. Figure 1 shows the synthesis flow of VASE. A mixed-signal system is described at behavioral level using a subset of VHDL-AMS. A VHDL-AMS compiler and architecture generator [6] transforms the specification into net-lists of components. A
constraint transformation process [5] allocates the system constraints onto analog modules. The architecture generator and the constraint transformation process are guided by the estimates produced by APE.

2 Motivation

In order to underline the importance of the initial design point during the search process, we present some experiments conducted using the ASTRX/OBLX analog circuit synthesis system developed at the Carnegie-Mellon University [14]. This system sizes a given analog circuit topology to meet specified user constraints. The sizing engine is based on a simulated annealing search algorithm. Table 1 (left side) shows the specifications of ten operational amplifiers we attempted to synthesize using ASTRX/OBLX. Each specification was submitted to ASTRX/OBLX without initial design points. Table 1 (right side) shows the results after simulating the sized circuits produced by ASTRX/OBLX upon convergence. Only in one case (OpAmp9) the design worked correctly and met the constraints within reasonable accuracy. In one case (OpAmp1) the circuit didn’t simulate correctly. In all other cases, one of the specifications was unacceptably violated by the sized design produced by ASTRX/OBLX.

The APE can be used as a front-end to a circuit synthesis tool such as ASTRX/OBLX to generate an initial design-point from which to conduct the search. Experimental results presented later in this paper show that this makes the search quite effective.

3 Related Work in Analog Synthesis

Approaches to synthesize analog CMOS circuits can be classified as knowledge-based or optimization-based [3]. The knowledge-based approaches encode the circuit behavior in memory, while the optimization-based systems obtain the behavior via simulation. Examples of knowledge-based analog synthesis tools are OASYS [9] and IDAC [4] and examples of optimization-based synthesis tools are OPASYN [11], STAIC [10] and DELIGHT.SPICE [13].

ARIADNE [17] uses a mathematical approach based on symbolic simulation (ISAAC [7]) and simulated annealing, to synthesize analog systems. OASYS [9] is a hierarchically structured synthesis tool making use of analog circuit design knowledge. Using a hierarchical representation, OASYS reduces the complexity of the synthesis process; however, it loses the ability to explore different configurations in a flat design. OASYS relies on generate-and-simulate approach and allows back-tracking across the levels in the hierarchy. STAIC is based on a successive solution refinement methodology. OPASYN assumes a synthesis by analysis approach based on analytical models. The synthesis problem is formulated as nonlinear constrained optimization based on analytical circuit models. DARWIN [12] is a tool that is able to synthesize CMOS opamps using a genetic algorithm. In DARWIN, opamp topologies are built from basic blocks. DONALD [16] uses constraint programming to relate the design equations to a user system application. FASY [19] is a fuzzy-logic based synthesis tool which selects a topology from a pre-defined library. In FASY, the components are pre-sized to satisfy the performance. Performance modeling in FASY is also based on fuzzy-logic [18].

ASTRX/OBLX is an automated analog circuit synthesis tool developed at the Carnegie-Mellon University. In ASTRX/OBLX, the circuit topology is already selected. The transistor sizes and bias points are set as unknowns [1]. The user provides intervals to establish ranges of allowable values for the unknowns. If the intervals are smaller, the search will converge faster. The specifications, objectives and constraints embed the behavior of the circuit to be sized. ASTRX/OBLX generates a cost function from the objectives, specifications, constraints and Kirchoff Laws. The AWE (Asymptotic Waveform Evaluation) technique [15] is used to simulate the circuit. The optimization engine is based on a simulated annealing algorithm. The time of convergence and the accuracy of results are improved when the unknown intervals are smaller and the number of unknowns, specifications, objectives and constraints are as minimum as possible. Therefore, an initial knowledge of the transistor sizes, operating points, and small signal characteristics can speed up the process of convergence successfully.

4 APE: Analog Performance Estimation Methodology

APE has a layered hierarchical structure shown in Figure 2. Estimation and circuit sizing are carried out using a bottom-up approach, from the transistor level specification through the system level application. The user application is specified as a net-list of elements from the component library and a set of system requirements. Each library component is built using operational amplifiers, basic analog circuits (DC-bias voltage, current source, gain amplifiers,
etc.), transistors, resistors and capacitors. Several topologies of operational amplifiers can be generated by using different arrangements of basic analog circuits. The basic circuits contain CMOS transistors. Each level in the hierarchy gets the requirements from its parent and decomposes them into its subcomponents. Eventually, the transistors are sized using the requirements and their performance estimates are generated. The estimates are propagated through all the levels using symbolic equations that relate them. At the end, each performance parameter has been estimated and each circuit element sized. The following paragraphs describe the models used in the APE.

### 1. CMOS Transistor Models

At lowest level of the hierarchy are the CMOS transistor models. A transistor is sized according to its DC operating point and the fabrication process parameters. Then the small signal characteristics are evaluated. The sized transistor is saved as an object which contains the size and performance parameters. Several objects can be generated with different operating points as they are needed to construct the other levels in the circuit hierarchy.

For example a CMOS transistor in the saturation region can be modeled by the following symbolic equations:

\[
I_{ds} = \left(\mu /\kappa_{ox}\right) (W/L) \left(V_{gs} - V_{th}\right)^2 / 2 \quad (1)
\]

\[
g_{mi} = \sqrt{\frac{4(KF)(W/L)}{L}} g_{mn} \quad (2)
\]

\[
g_{mb} = \sqrt{2 \phi + V_{th} \frac{g_{mn}}{\eta}} \quad (3)
\]

\[
g_{d} = \frac{\lambda I_{ds}}{1 + \lambda |V_{ds}|} \quad (4)
\]

The transistor sizing process consists in solving these symbolic equations such that the constraints are met. For example, if a transistor is specified by a given transconductance \(g_{mb}\) (Gain) and a drain current, APE estimates the transistor size, the output drain conductance and the parasitic capacitances. It should be noted that, the sizing process is tied to the fabrication process parameters and the sizing accuracy is directly dependent on the transistor model used. The current version of APE can use Level 1, 2, 3 or BSIM SPICE device models.

### 2. Basic Analog Components

A library of basic components is the next level in the APE. Some of these components are DC-bias voltages, current sources, gain amplifiers, output buffers, differential amplifiers and differential-to-single-ended converters. This library contains several topologies for each component, e.g. a current source can be implemented as a Cascode or a Wilson topology. APE contains a set of symbolic equations which relate the performance of the components to the circuit topology.

To estimate the performance of a basic analog component, APE evaluates the performance of each transistor according to the topology and specifications. With this information, the performance parameters of the component are calculated. For example, a differential CMOS amplifier is modeled by the following equations:

\[
A_{dB} \approx \frac{g_{mi}}{g_{mi} + g_{ds}} \quad (5)
\]

\[
A_{cm} \approx \frac{-g_{d}}{2g_{mi}(g_{d} + g_{ds})} \quad (6)
\]

\[
CMRR \approx \frac{2g_{mi}g_{d}}{g_{d}g_{ds}} \quad (7)
\]

If a differential CMOS amplifier is specified by its differential gain mode \(A_{dB}\), APE solves the equations for the transistor transconductances. Then, with the transistor transconductances, all parameters of each transistor are estimated using the CMOS transistor Models. Finally, the performance parameters (\(A_{cm}, CMRR, GateArea, UGF, Z_{out}, DCPower\) and \(SlewRate\)) are evaluated. A new object is created with the estimates and sizes attached as attributes.

Table 2 compares the APE estimations and SPICE simulation results of some basic components after being sized according to user-specified requirements. This table shows that the models used in the APE are reasonably accurate.

### 3. Operational Amplifiers

The third level in the APE hierarchy consists of a set of operational amplifier topologies. A general structure of an opamp can be represented by three stages [8]: (1) Differential input amplifier; (2) Level shift, differential to single-ended converter, and gain stage;
Table 3. Estimation vs SPICE Simulation for OpAmp's

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit</th>
<th>DC Power</th>
<th>Adm Current</th>
<th>UGF</th>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>Zout</th>
<th>Gate Area</th>
<th>CMRR</th>
<th>Slew Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1010.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1010.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1010.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: OpAmp1, OpAmp2, OpAmp3 topology: Wilson, DiffCMOS, Output Buffer. OpAmp4 topology: Mirror, DiffCMOS

Table 2. Estimation vs SPICE Simulation for Basic Analog Circuits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit</th>
<th>Gate Area</th>
<th>UGF</th>
<th>DC Power</th>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpAmp3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Output buffer. The output buffer is only needed if a
the amplifier is heavily loaded. Each of these stages can be implemented with elements from the library of basic components. The operational amplifier structure contains the supported topologies and the equations which relate the performance parameters of the opamp and the parameters of the basic component library. When an opamp is estimated, a topology is specified (bias current, type of current source, type of differential amplifier, gain stage, output buffer, output impedance, internal compensated, and load capacitance). The performance parameters of the opamp are estimated using the attributes of the basic components after being sized and the opamp performance equations.

Once the amplifier is sized and estimated, a new opamp object is created with its performance attributes and topology. Table 3 compares the performance estimation and SPICE simulation results of several operational amplifiers after being sized. Again these results show that the opamp models used in APE are reasonably accurate.

4. Analog Modules: A library of analog modules forms the fourth level of the APE methodology structure. Each component in the library is constructed using opamp’s, elements from the basic component library, transistors, resistors and capacitors. The library consists of circuits such as inverting amplifiers, integrators, comparators, analog-to-digital converters, digital-to-analog converters, filters, sample-and-hold circuits, adders, etc. The performance parameters of these components are estimated using the operational amplifier estimation attributes and the equations in the component library which relate the ideal behavior of the component with the non-ideal characteristics of the opamp. Because of the hierarchical structure, the characterization of this library components carries the topology information and the fabrication process parameters.

Table 5 shows experimental results concerning the performance of the APE at this level. Columns 1-3 show the name of the library module and the user-specifications for various design parameters. Columns 5-6 show the APE estimates and simulation results. These results demonstrate the accuracy of the APE estimates.

5 Experimental Results for Circuit Synthesis using the APE

In this section, we present experimental results involving circuit synthesis using APE to generate an initial circuit sizing, followed by the ASTRX/OBLX synthesis tool to search the design space in the vicinity of the initial sizes to more closely meet the constraints.

1. Synthesis of Operational Amplifiers: We have synthesized the ten operational amplifiers specified in Table 1 using APE followed by ASTRX/OBLX. The results are shown in Table 4. For each unknown parameter specified to the ASTRX/OBLX system, we have specified its search region to be centered around the corresponding value estimated by the APE with the lower/upper bounds specified as being +/- 20% away from this value. Note that in contrast to Table 1, ASTRX/OBLX system is now able to find correctly working designs while meeting the performance constraints in each case. Also note the overall synthesis time improvement in all cases but one. (The CPU time required to execute the APE for all the ten opamps combined was 0.12 seconds on a Ultra Sparc 30 workstation and is essentially negligible compared to the ASTRX/OBLX synthesis times. CPU times shown in the table include the total time for APE+ASTRX/OBLX execution).

2. Synthesis of Analog Library Modules: To further illustrate the effectiveness of the methodology, we synthesized 5 design examples (audio amplifier, sample and hold, 4-bit flash ADC, low-pass filter and band-pass filter) at the analog library module level of the APE hierarchy. The
Table 4. OpAmp Results: ASTRX/OBLX with APE init.

These results show that using the ASTRX/OBLX alone for analog circuit synthesis might be impractical. Typically, one may have to execute ASTRX/OBLX many times before a constraint-satisfying and functionally correct design can be generated. This is an extremely time consuming process, given the fact that even one run through the ASTRX/OBLX tool takes a long time, as shown by the results in this paper. The methodology presented in this paper helps alleviate the following mutually-related difficulties in using ASTRX/OBLX for circuit synthesis: (1) non-convergence of ASTRX/OBLX in a reasonable time, (2) the need to repeat ASTRX/OBLX synthesis runs many times before producing the required design, and (3) the need to “intelligently guess” the ranges of allowable values for the variables along with initial search points.

The CPU time required to run ASTRX/OBLX is directly dependent on the simulating annealing based optimization.
engine. ASTRX/OBLX allows the user to override any of the parameters used during the annealing process. Tuning these parameters can help find a working design. However, it may increase the execution time. And, the parameter settings fine-tuned one design may not work for another design. In our experiments, we have used the same default simulated annealing parameter settings for all experiments. In our experiments, narrowing the search intervals by employing the APE didn’t show as much impact as one might expect on the ASTRX/OBLX execution time. The impact was primarily on its ability to discover a working, constraint-satisfying design. We are currently exploring ways to utilize the APE-generated information during the annealing process such that a significant improvement on the execution time can be obtained.

6 Conclusion

The methodology for improving the effectiveness of CMOS analog systems circuit synthesis presented in this paper embodies a hierarchical approach to estimate the circuit sizing and performance parameters. We have demonstrated that the size estimates can be effectively used as the starting point during the process of analog circuit synthesis using an optimization-based circuit synthesis tool. The hierarchical structure allows to easily add new components to APE, making use of lower levels in the structure.

Critical to the success of analog synthesis is the accuracy of analog performance estimation at various levels of abstraction. We are currently incorporating into the APE performance estimation procedures for user-level analog nets. We are also currently exploring the applications of the APE for analog performance estimation at various stages in a top-down analog synthesis process, from behavior specifications to analog layouts.
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